



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJEMT_4753
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Multinational Companies in Sustainable Socioeconomic Development: The Case of Palestine
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Interesting study. The document is original. The model used is sophisticated. The regressions used are simple but are largely sufficient to explain the phenomenon. The final sample used is not large enough but it is understandable to have a low number of companies for this type of study. My comments put emphasis on form's errors only. The author should put abbreviations between brackets at least once for all the variables used in the document. Sufficient details of the regressions performed should be presented to illustrate the model used. Line 189: The sentence beginning with Table 2 summarizes... is written twice. Table 7 is not required. The author should refer to table 6 to explain the results obtained. Also, the references should be revised (lines 627: the alphabetical order of the reference, 636: the author should put pages 78-92 instead of 56-68; and 641: issue 1 instead of issue 11. Also, the reference used at line 557 should refer to the 2006's paper of Porter and Kramer). Finally, the author should present the limits of the study.	Comment: The author should put abbreviations between brackets at least once for all the variables used in the document. Response: Abbreviations put between brackets; corporate social responsibility (CSR), MNC strategy aggressiveness (SA), MNC capability responsiveness (CR), MNC environmental turbulence (ET), foreign direct investment (FDI) Comment: Sufficient details of the regressions performed should be presented to illustrate the model used. Response: The following added from line 438 to 442: The relationships among these variables were evaluated in SPSS, utilizing Spearman's rho statistical analysis to compare the variables. Spearman's correlation is a monotonic relationship. That measures the strength of association between two ranked variables (Laerd Statistics, 2011) Comment: Line 189: The sentence beginning with Table 2 summarizes... is written twice.



SDI Review Form 1.6

		Response: The second sentence deleted Comment: Table 7 is not required. The author should refer to table 6 to explain the results obtained. Response: Table 7 deleted. Comment: Also, the references should be revised (lines 627: the alphabetical order of the reference. Response: Corrected, the references are in order now. Comment: 636: the author should put pages 78-92 instead of 56-68 Response: Corrected, it is now Line 662. Comment: 641: issue 1 instead of issue 11. Response: Corrected, It is now Line 675. Comment: Also, the reference used at line 557 should refer to the 2006's paper of Porter and Kramer). Response: Corrected, please, refer to line 564.
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

		Comment: Finally, the author should present the limits of the study. Response: The limits of the study added, please, refer from line 585 to 600.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		